

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231	
------------------------	--

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
3/974.621	11/19/97	NISHI		т 07	7977/202001
SCOTT C HARRIS FISH & RICHARDSON 4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE		MM42/0901		E	XAMINER
				NGUYEN. D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
225 EXECUII YE 1400	VE SWUHRE			2871	3
A JOLLA CA 92037				DATE MAILED:	19/01/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/974,621

Dung Nguyen

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

2871

Nishi



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	to the control of the
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	•
☐ Claims	
	4.6 644)550 to 1050.5150 5. 5.550.515455455
Application Papers  ☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Povious PTO-049
-	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ISapproveddisapproved.
The each or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☑ All ☐ Some □ INone of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	the priority documents have been
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	harl
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
*Certified copies not received:	international bureau (i Or Hule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/974,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. For the purpose of examination, it is assumed that claim 10 will be depended on claim 9.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimada et al., US Patent No. 5,805,252.

The above claims are anticipated by Shimada et al., Fig 14 which disclose a reflection type active matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device in which:

Application/Control Number: 08/974,621 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

• a plural of pixel electrodes (38) disposed over an active matrix substrate (30a) in the form of a matrix (according to active matrix LCD), each pixel electrode connects to a thin film transistor (TFT)(40);

- an interlayer insulating film (42) interposed between the active matrix substrate and the plurality of pixel electrodes;
- an oxidized of aluminum light reflective film (38a) formed on an upper surface of pixel electrodes;
  - a first orientation film (44) formed on the light reflective film;
- a color filter (46), a counter electrode (47) and a second orientation film formed on an opposing substrate (30b);
- a liquid crystal material (e.g., guest-host type, figures 17 and 18) injected between the first and second orientation film.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al., US Patent No. 5,805,252.

Application/Control Number: 08/974,621

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Shimada et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the light reflective film which is a multilayer having a same or different configuration. One of ordinary skill in the art would have realized the desire to form one or multilayer of reflecting film having a same or different configuration on the surface of the pixel electrode. Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a multilayer of reflecting film on the surface of the pixel electrode of Shimada et al. since such process is one of many ways well known in the art to form a reflecting film. The use of one and/or multilayer having a same or different configuration merely depend(s) on the particular desire of a manufacturer of such device and the feasibility of such process or processes evaluated by the manufacturer.

Regarding claim 6, Shimada et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the light reflecting film comprising scandium and aluminum. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a reflecting film comprising aluminum and scandium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material in order to obtain a good light reflection.

6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al., US Patent No. 5,805,252, in view of Kim et al., US Patent No. 5,311,338.

Regarding claims 7-10, Shimada et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for a light reflective film formed by anodic oxidation of the pixel electrode. Kim et al

Application/Control Number: 08/974,621

Art Unit: 2871

clearly disclose a reflective film which can be performed by an anode oxidization method (claim

21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to form a reflecting film by using the method of anode oxidation in order to

create an uniform surface and fast oxidation of the anode (col. 5, line 16). Furthermore, a

configuration of the reflecting film inherently changes by varying the conditions of the

anodization treatment.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7726.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN

August 24, 1999

William L. Sikes

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 2871**